Ethical issues arising in research into health and climate change

Kuala Lumpur, 19 & 20 November 2024

Global Forum on Bioethics in Research

Pecha Kucha presentation

Ethical dilemmas in health and climate research: a case study on indigenous rights and mutual benefits

Wellester Alvis, Universiti Malaya (UM), Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
Co-authors: Phang Kean Chang and Sharon Kaur Gurmukh Singh, Faculty of Law, UM, Malaysia

(This case study is based on a real-life case that has been modified to further suit the discussion and to protect confidentiality.)

Brief description of context
In the vast, biodiverse expanse of a remote rainforest of Borneo, a community of indigenous people has lived in harmony with nature for generations. They possess an intricate understanding of the medicinal plants that thrive in their ancestral lands. However, climate change threatens to disrupt these delicate ecosystems.

Dr.Hart, a well-intentioned ethnopharmacologist, leads a team of researchers from a distant university. They aim to document the impacts of climate change on traditional medicinal practices and to integrate scientific methods with indigenous knowledge. The team includes experts in botany and environmental science. During the initial community assembly, Dr. Hart enthusiastically outlines the project's objectives, stressing the benefits of scientific validation of traditional knowledge. The local healer, Aman, expresses cautious optimism but worries about the community's voice in this partnership.

As the research progresses, tensions surface. Dr. Hart prioritizes data collection and the publication of results to secure further funding and academic recognition. This focus shifts the project away from its original intent to also serve the community's immediate needs. The researchers introduce advanced technology to monitor plant health, which disturbs some sacred sites. The community feels that the sanctity of these places and the spiritual aspects of their practices are being overlooked.

The research findings reveal that several key medicinal plants are losing their potency due to changing climate conditions. Dr. Hart proposes creating a patented, genetically modified version of one plant, which could withstand the new environmental stresses. While scientifically promising, this plan stirs controversy. The community is divided; some see it as a necessary innovation, while others view it as a violation of nature's order. Moreover, the agreement on data sharing becomes a point of contention. The university plans to include the collected knowledge in a global database, accessible to pharmaceutical companies for drug development. The community had not fully grasped the implications of this exposure, and concerns about exploitation and insufficient compensation arise.

The project ends with mixed feelings. The research team organizes a final meeting to discuss the outcomes and future steps. Aman, feeling that the community's trust has been compromised, voices his concerns: "We hoped for a partnership, but we became subjects in an experiment." Dr. Hart is taken aback by the intensity of the feedback and recognizes the shortcomings in the team's approach to community engagement and consent.

Discussion of ethical issues

Climate justice and vulnerability vs research prioritization and funding pressures

As demonstrated in this case study, climate justice is linked to the vulnerability of Indigenous populations, whose traditional medicine practices and livelihoods have been disproportionately affected by climate change (Redver,2023). The deterioration of their environment, over which they have little to no influence, has a direct impact on their health systems, making it more difficult to preserve both their well-being and cultural legacy. Nevertheless, they are the ones left to carry the weight.

Researchers, while not directly accountable, frequently come from institutions or areas that have benefitted from systems that contribute to damage to the environment. This relationship imposes an ethical duty on them to do research that directly addresses the needs and interests of the people most affected rather than furthering academic or commercial objectives. By focusing on solutions that prioritize these groups, researchers may try to remediate the damage while also promoting social justice and equity.

This includes for example, tackling pressing healthcare and environmental issues, as well as empowering Indigenous communities to lead adaptation efforts. By focusing on local resilience and self-determination, research can help to develop fair and just climate solutions that respect Indigenous peoples' rights and expertise.

External demands, such as collecting financing and attaining academic prestige, can make it difficult to prioritize research on climate change and health challenges in marginalized areas. These pressures, as witnessed in Dr. Hart's example, might cause researchers to divert from their original objectives of meeting the community's urgent needs. As a result, research aims are misaligned with the acute health needs of disadvantaged people. This gap is especially problematic in climate-affected regions, where healthcare services are already overburdened. Ethical research should prioritize these communities' long-term wellbeing, focusing on their changing needs rather than being motivated by short-term academic rewards. Continuous involvement with the community is crucial to ensure that research stays relevant and valuable to those most affected by climate change.

Equitable knowledge sharing and biodiversity conservation

Equitable exchange of knowledge in research involving Indigenous people is critical for fostering distributive justice, especially in the context of biodiversity conservation and climate resilience (Dawson et al., 2021). Indigenous knowledge of ecosystems, biodiversity, and sustainable practices is vital and must be recognized alongside scientific understanding (Hosen, 2018). Ethical research should encourage collaborations that combine both knowledge systems, making Indigenous contributions important to conservation efforts (Makondo & Thomas, 2018). Failure to do so as depicted in the case study may lead to disruption of the relationship between the community and the researchers.

To address this, co-creating and co-designing interventions with the community would be highly beneficial. For instance, rather than immediately prioritizing genetic modification as the primary solution, Dr. Hart should collaborate with the community to explore alternative options. These could include climate-resilient plant species and traditional land management practices that are both culturally appropriate and promote environmental sustainability.

Conclusions and recommendations

Recommendations:

1. Empower Indigenous and marginalized groups to lead climate change adaptation initiatives, including their traditional knowledge into environmental and healthcare solutions.
2. Researchers should prioritize the urgent health and environmental problems of disadvantaged people, ensuring that research goals reflect community welfare rather than academic or budgetary constraints.
3. Create relationships that recognize Indigenous knowledge as equally vital as scientific understanding. This equilibrium should inform biodiversity conservation, ecological management, and health treatments.
4. Ensure that ethical principles, such as the Nagoya Protocol, be followed to avoid the exploitation of Indigenous knowledge. Communities should be fairly compensated, including cash advantages and better knowledge systems.
5. Instead of depending solely on biomedical knowledge, academics should investigate and support Indigenous-led efforts, such as seed banks and traditional land management methods, which promote both climate resilience and cultural heritage.
6. Ethical research should entail long-term partnership with communities to meet their changing needs and strengthen resilience in the face of climate change and health concerns.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, climate change and health research must move to more egalitarian, community-centered approaches that value and utilize Indigenous knowledge systems. Researchers can meet the urgent needs of marginalized people while also supporting environmental sustainability by creating collaborations that combine scientific and traditional knowledge. Ethical factors, such as preserving intellectual property and ensuring equitable benefit sharing, are critical to preventing exploitation and fostering justice. Finally, research should prioritize long-term community well-being over short-term academic successes, focusing on solutions that improve resilience, honor cultural values, and protect biodiversity for future generations.

References

1. Redvers, N., Aubrey, P., Celidwen, Y., & Hill, K. (2023). Indigenous Peoples: Traditional knowledges, climate change, and health. *PLOS global public health*, *3*(10), e0002474. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgph.0002474
2. Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity. (2011). *Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from their Utilization to the Convention on Biological Diversity: Text and annex*. https://www.cbd.int/abs/doc/protocol/nagoya-protocol-en.pdf
3. Dawson, N. M., B. Coolsaet, E. J. Sterling, R. Loveridge, N. D. Gross-Camp, S. Wongbusarakum, K. K. Sangha, L. M. Scherl, H. Phuong Phan, N. Zafra-Calvo, W. G. Lavey, P. Byakagaba, C. J. Idrobo, A. Chenet, N. J. Bennett, S. Mansourian, and F. J. RosadoMay. 2021. The role of Indigenous peoples and local communities in effective and equitable conservation. Ecology and Society 26 (3):19. https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-12625-260319
4. Makondo, C. C., & Thomas, D. S. G. (2018). Climate change adaptation: Linking indigenous knowledge with western science for effective adaptation. *Environmental Science & Policy*, *88*, 83-91. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2018.06.014
5. Hosen, N., Nakamura, H., & Hamzah, A. (2020). Adaptation to Climate Change: Does Traditional Ecological Knowledge Hold the Key? *SUSTAINABILITY*, *12*(2).